### PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2015 TOWN HALL 7:00 P.M.

Present: Chairman Michael Orefice, Lee Hall, Paul Roche and Land Use Coordinator Polly Redmond

Absent: Roland Perreault and Debra Freidus

#### 1. OPEN MEETING - ESTABLISH OUORUM.

Chairman Orefice called the meeting to order at 7:12 p.m. All regular members present are seated.

### 2. APPROVE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING: 9/9/15

Tabled until the next meeting due to lack of a voting quorum.

## 3. DISCUSSION ON DRAFT BLIGHT ORDINANCE AND REVISED ORDINANCE 103 ABANDONED AND INOPERABLE VEHICLES PREPARED BY BOARD OF SELECTMEN.

Commissioners discuss revised draft Ordinance 103 Abandoned and Inoperable Vehicles. Chairman Orefice believes it to be quite lengthy. He questions whether the Board of Selectmen/Enforcement Officer will be proactive or wait for complaints to come in. He also questions why the Blight Ordinance includes abandoned cars when Ordinance 103 covers them. Chairman Orefice states he will review the draft ordinances further and send his comments on to Planning Commissioners for their review at the next Planning meeting scheduled for October 14, 2015.

# 4. DISCUSSION – RECENTLY PASSED ZONING REGULATION PROHIBITING MEDICAL MARIJUANA FACILITIES.

P. Roche states that the Town Attorney and the Zoning Chairman had no right to determine whether the Planning Commission's five points noted in their 8-24 Referral to the Zoning Commission were met. He states that the Zoning Commission could have waited for a 2/3 vote to pass the Zoning Regulation that prohibits medical marijuana facilities or Zoning could have come back to Planning to address the five points. Chairman Orefice agrees and states that the Planning Commission usually requests a Zoning Commissioner to attend a Planning meeting when proposed Zoning Regulation amendments are being presented for an 8-24 Referral. He states that there is no legal requirement for Zoning to come back to the Planning Commission but feels that it was implied. Chairman Orefice states that in the future, the Planning Commission should be very clear in their opinion and that the Referral is not left to the opinions of others. P. Roche agrees that the Planning Commission should have stated that the proposed amendment is not consistent with the Plan of Conservation and Development and include reasons why.

- 5. OLD BUSINESS. None.
- 6. NEW BUSINESS. None.
- 7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS. None.
- 8. **CORRESPONDENCE**. NHCOG has sent notice of upcoming Land Use Workshops.
- 9. INVOICES. None.
- 10. ADJOURN.
  - P. Roche motioned to adjourn the meeting at 7:50 p.m., seconded by L. Hall. Motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,