PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

Bridge No. 05053 ConnDOT Project No. 65-113





REPLACEMENT OF SHINGLE MILL ROAD BRIDGE OVER ROCK BROOK HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT August 9, 2016



WMC CONSULTING ENGINEERS

The Town of Harwinton has retained the firm of WMC Consulting Engineers, Inc. to provide the design of the bridge, associated roadway and site improvements.

Contacts:

Jay Costello, P.E. Vice President, WMC Consulting Engineers

John Wengell Project Manager, WMC Consulting Engineers

Jodi-Ann O'Conner, P.E. Liaison Engineer, CME Associates, Inc. **Priti S. Bhardwaj, P.E.** Transportation Supervising Engineer, CTDOT

Marc. P. Byrnes, E.I.T.

Project Engineer, CTDOT

Gustavo M. Melo, E.I.T.

Project Engineer, CTDOT





Federal Local Bridge Program
Town / State / Federal Partnership
80% Federal / 20% Town
Town Project with State Oversight





PROJECT HISTORY

Town Funding Application **Funding** Approval **Consultant Selection Project** Assignment Meeting **Engineering** Agreement Notice To Proceed **PE Report Public Info Meeting**

2011 July 2012 (FY 2013 funds) July 2014 August 2014 **October 2015 October 2015** April 2016 August 2016









PURPOSE FOR THE PROJECT

Replacement of the bridge is required based on its current condition rating. According to the most recent ConnDOT Bridge Inspection Report, the existing was built in 1975 and the superstructure and substructure have condition rating of 5 and the bridge is scour critical (Rating of 3), which means the existing bridge is structurally deficient and its condition warrants replacement.









- Replacement of the Shingle Mill Road bridge
- •Aesthetic Improvement
- Minor improvements to existing drainage
- Minimize disturbance to neighbors and general public
- Complete construction in a timely manner
- Effectively use available funding for the project









LOOKING WEST OVER BRIDGE







UPSTREAM VIEW DOWNSTREAM VIEW





EAST ABUTMENT UPSTREAM FACE



WEST ABUTMENT UPSTREAM FACE







WEST ABUTMENT DOWNSTREAM FACE



EAST ABUTMENT DOWNSTREAM FACE







FACIA GIRDER CONDITIONS UPSTREAM FACE

FACIA GIRDER CONDITIONS DOWNSTREAM FACE









Rehabilitation - Prestressed Concrete Deck Units

Pros:

•Lowest projected construction cost of the alternatives

•Maintains natural stone appearance

Cons:

•Installation of scour protection for westerly abutment could reduce hydraulic capacity and costs associated with installation could escalate from the uncertainty of existing scour conditions.

•The substructure will continue to deteriorate and need replacement in the near future

•Shortest projected life span of all alternatives

•Roadway width is inadequate and unable to support two-way traffic

Cost:

•The cost of construction for the year 2018 is approximately \$550,000







Replacement - Prestressed Concrete Deck Units

Pros:

•*Replacement includes two ten foot travel lanes across the bridge allowing for bi-directional traffic*

•Clear span (open bottom) structure type is preferred by DEEP Fisheries

•*Removal of existing fill material along easterly abutment is deemed to be an environmental enhancement to the channel and favorable by DEEP Fisheries*

Cons:

•Highest projected construction cost of the replacement alternatives

•Does not accommodate addition of future utilities under the superstructure

•Longest construction time of the replacement alternatives evaluated due to additional work in the construction of new concrete abutments

Cost:

•*The cost of construction for the year 2018 is approximately \$1,510,000*





<u>SELECTED – ALTERNATIVE 3</u>

Replacement - 49' Precast Concrete Arch

Pros:

•Replacement includes two ten foot travel lanes across the bridge allowing for bi-directional traffic

•Lowest projected construction cost of the replacement alternatives evaluated

•Shortest required construction time of the replacement alternatives evaluated

•Clear span (open bottom) structure type is preferred by DEEP Fisheries

•*Removal of existing fill material along easterly abutment is deemed to be an environmental enhancement to the channel and favorable by DEEP Fisheries*

Cons:

•Does not accommodate the addition of future utilities under the superstructure

Cost:

•*The cost of construction for the year 2018 is approximately \$1,420,000*

•Funding will be 80% Federal funds and 20% Town funds (Federal: \$1,136,000; Town:\$284,000)









Roadway Plan







Typical Bridge Section



Bridge Elevation



<u>SELECTED - ALTERNATIVE 3</u>

<u>Reasons For Selection:</u>

- Two ten foot travel lanes across the bridge allowing for bi-directional traffic
- Lowest projected construction cost
- Shortest required construction time
- Clear span (open bottom) structure type is preferred by DEEP Fisheries

Proposed Construction Includes:

- 49' x 8'7" precast concrete arch
- Concrete bridge abutments and precast concrete wingwalls
- Concrete approach walls at both ends of the bridge
- Bridge rail in between the approach walls
- New guide rail and guide rail anchors
- Full depth reconstruction of pavement with project limits
- Minor improvements to existing drainage
- Minimizing of easements and land acquisitions
- Geometry will essentially remain the same
- Improve safety of approach roadways
- Road to be closed to traffic with a detour during construction







WMC CONSULTING ENGINEERS

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

- No known contaminated soils within project limits
- No known hazardous materials within project limits
- Best management practices will be used to handle sedimentation control
- Inland wetlands/regulated area impacts will be kept to a minimum
- Disturbed areas during construction will be restored upon completion
- Permits required:

Category I ACOE

Town IWWC

State Flood Management Certification





- Impacts to private properties will be kept to a minimum
- Temporary construction easements required for the bridge and roadway improvements
- Permanent easements required for the maintenance of the bridge and retaining walls





PROJECT COST

- The cost of construction for the year 2018 is approximately \$1,420,000
- Funding will be 80% Federal funds and 20% Town funds
 - Federal: \$1,136,000
 - Town: \$284,000

PROJECT SCHEDULE

- Advertising for construction: Se
- Start of construction:
- Duration of construction:

September 2017

April 2018

Approx. 8 months



- Overhead utility wires relocated as required
- Coordination with utility companies will be conducted during the design process
- Notification of the proposed improvements after approval of preliminary design



CONTACT INFORMATION

<u>Town of Harwinton</u>

Michael R. Criss, First Selectman Harwinton Town Hall 100 Bentley Drive Harwinton, CT 06791 1stSelectman@harwinton.us 860-485-9051 ext. 101

CME Associates, Inc.

Jodi-Ann O'Connor, P.E. Federal Local Bridge Program Coordinator 333 East River Drive, Suite 400 East Hartford, CT 06108 joconnor@cmeengineering.com 860-290-4100 ext. 1102

WMC Engineers

John Wengell Project Manager 87 Holmes Road Newington, CT 06111 jawengell@wmcengineers.com 860-667-9624

CT Dept. of Transportation

Priti S. Bhardwaj, P.E. Transportation Supervising Engineer Federal Local Bridge Program 2800 Berlin Turnpike P.O. Box 317546 Newington, CT 06131-7546 priti.bhardwaj@ct.gov 860-594-3311

CT Dept. of Transportation

Marc P. Byrnes, E.I.T. Project Engineer Federal Local Bridge Program 2800 Berlin Turnpike P.O. Box 317546 Newington, CT 06131-7546 Marc.Byrnes@ct.gov 860-594-3489

<u>CT Dept. of Transportation</u>

Gustavo M. Melo, E.I.T. Project Engineer Federal Local Bridge Program 2800 Berlin Turnpike P.O. Box 317546 Newington, CT 06131-7546 Gustavo.Melo@ct.gov 860-594-3311



WMC PREVIOUS BRIDGE PROJECTS



WMC CONSULTING ENGINEERS

WMC PREVIOUS BRIDGE PROJECTS



WILSON ROAD over GULF STREAM

LITCHFIELD, CONNECTICUT

ORIGINAL STRUCTURE: The original bridge was built in 1956. The structure consists of an asphalt coated corrugated metal pipe arch supported on concrete stub abutments. The bridge has a simple span with a maximum clear span of approximately 18 feet and a rise of approximately 9.2 feet. The reinforced concrete wingwalls are monolithic with the abutments.

NEW STRUCTURE: Precast concrete arch sections, set on cast-in-place concrete footings with knee walls. The arch sections have cast-in-place concrete spandrel walls, were covered with a waterproofing membrane. Standard cast-in-place reinforced concrete flared wingwalls were used at all four corners of the substructure.



APPROACHES: 60' SUPERSTRUCTURE: Precast

Conspan Arches SUBSTRUCTURE: Cast-

in-place footings & wingwalls

COST: \$ 536,798.84

PERMITS / REVIEWS: Inland Wetland and Watercourse, Planning and Zoning Notification, State: DEP Flood Management certification, Federal: ACOE General Permit

SPECIAL CONCERNS: Permanent and temporary easements and rights, Utility Impacts: CL&P poles, telephone, electric, and cable TV

PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS:

EXCAVATION:	EARTH:	2,500 c.y
	ROCK:	9 c.y.
SUBSTRUCTURE:	CONCRETE:	323 c.y.
	REINFORCING:	21,452 lb
SUPERSTRUCTURE:	CONCRETE:	N/A
	REINFORCING:	2,239 lb
ROADWAY:	380 Feet, Timber Guide Rail	

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION:

FFATURES: 1

Summer 2001

BRIDGE DATA SHEET

DIAMOND HILL ROAD over SAUGATUCK RIVER REDDING, CONNECTICUT

ORIGINAL STRUCTURE: The original bridge was built in 1955. The bridge consisted of three (6 foot) diameter corrugated steel pipes (culverts) with stone masonry parapet walls (side walls) and wingwalls. The bridge carries a two lane roadway, providing a width of approximately 17.4 feet curb-to-curb and, including the parapets, a total width of approximately 20.7 feet.

NEW STRUCTURE: Precast concrete arch culvert (Conspan), steel backed timber guide rail, reinforced concrete stepped footings founded on bedrock, New England dry stack form liner surface treatment on wingwalls and spandrel walls, and tone approach walls.



WIDTH - 26'

APPROACHES - 250

SUPERSTRUCTURE -Precast Concrete Spar

SUBSTRUCTURE - New abutments founded on

COST - \$331, 113.00

PERMITS / REVIEWS: Connecticut Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Application, Flood Management Certification, Part of the federal local bridge program

SPECIAL CONCERNS:

Overhead Utilities, Trees to be removed at project site

PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS

EXCAVATION	EARTH:	399 C.Y.
	ROCK:	216 C.Y.
SUBSTRUCTURE	CONCRETE:	N/A
	REINFORING:	7,046 Lbs.
SUPERSTRUCTURE	CONCRETE:	91 C.Y.
	REINFORCING:	1,343 Lbs.
ROADWAY		69' - Timber guider

FEATURES: Dam spillway upstream of bridge.

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION: FALL 2001

